

TT-minutes-20160120

toscalix	== GENIVI Tools Meeting week 03 Jan 20th 2016 ==	10:00
toscalix	Chair today: Agustin Benito (toscalix)	10:00
toscalix	Time: 1 hour	10:00
toscalix	Agenda	10:00
toscalix	Update action items: https://at.projects.genivi.org/jira/secure/RapidBoard.jspa?rapidView=8&view=detail&selectedIssue=T OOL-6	10:00
toscalix	Objectives for 2016Q1	10:00
toscalix	AOB	10:00
toscalix	Any other topic that cannot be part of AOB that we should discuss?	10:00
gmacario	Hi there again! Nothing more from myself	10:00
toscalix	5	10:01
toscalix	4	10:01
toscalix	3	10:01
toscalix	2	10:01
toscalix	1	10:01
toscalix	ok....	10:01
toscalix	Update Action Items	10:01
toscalix	I created a Kanban Board for this group	10:01
toscalix	and put the backlog into review state, so we can go through them now	10:01
toscalix	the goal is to move those either to ToDo or to In progress if there is somebody that will execute it, including a soft deadline so I can keep track of when I should include them	10:02
toscalix	in the agenda here	10:02
toscalix	let's go for the first one, GDP-6	10:03
toscalix	discuss Franca /Franca_installation_automation relationship	10:03
toscalix	Any taker?	10:03
*** samuli has quit IRC		10:03
gmacario	GDP-6?	10:04
toscalix	sorry :-)	10:04
toscalix	Tool-6	10:04
toscalix	https://at.projects.genivi.org/jira/browse/TOOL-6	10:04
gunnarx	catching up... going to fast... :)	10:04
toscalix	sorry...will slow down	10:04
*** samuli has joined #automotive		10:05
*** philrob has joined #automotive		10:05

gunnarx	I had also created a general Tools Kanban board (rapidView=6). I will delete it. I thought boards were visible in the "Boards" menu automatically, but no, only when you have visited it once	10:05
gunnarx	So rapidView=8 it is.	10:06
toscalix	https://at.projects.genivi.org/jira/secure/RapidBoard.jspa?rapidView=8	10:06
toscalix	yes	10:06
gunnarx	Next, checking the query being used	10:06
gunnarx	ok, same as I have, not including the CIAT issues	10:07
gunnarx	OK I have caught up now :)	10:07
gunnarx	We can look at Go-specific board after we're done with Tools board, OK?	10:08
*** fredcadete_ has joined #automotive		10:08
toscalix	ok. I was asking about Tool-6	10:08
gunnarx	yes	10:08
toscalix	If there is no taker....let's move on	10:08
gunnarx	Was assigned to klaus birken	10:08
gunnarx	is klaus here?	10:09
gunnarx	It's right now an open question to Klaus basically, I would suggest assigning him again, and pinging him if there is no progress...	10:09
toscalix	it seems no... I will ping him	10:09
toscalix	Next one is Tool-11	10:09
*** fredcadete has quit IRC		10:10
toscalix	Ensure any hosting/publishing of images meets LRT requirements	10:10
toscalix	I take that one since it is related to the work we are doing at GDP	10:10
toscalix	I will provide a soft deadline after the meeting	10:11
gunnarx	ok	10:11
philrob	Klaus is travelling this week (PST)	10:11
toscalix	Next one... Tool-12	10:11
gunnarx	hang on toscalix .	10:11
gunnarx	the labels on tool-11?	10:11
toscalix	ok	10:11
gunnarx	cont_integration <- are we using that	10:11
toscalix	yes... some labels are being used already. There is a labels catalogue that is being used by GDP	10:12
gunnarx	currently "CIAT" means Go-server related issues basically. I was meaning to discuss that when we talk about that Kanban board.	10:12
gunnarx	catalogue on wiki?	10:12
toscalix	I have as action item together with joel to create a general catalogue	10:12

toscalix	Wiki page:	10:12
gunnarx	ok	10:12
toscalix	https://at.projects.genivi.org/wiki/display/GDP/GENIVI+Demo+Platform+maintenance+management#GENIVIDemoPlatformmaintenance+management-Labels+catalogue	10:13
gunnarx	I propose don't use the label "compliance" in the meaning "FOSS license compliance", not in GENIVI where it has another meaning :)	10:13
toscalix	we need a catalogue to ensure two people do not use different labels for the same thing...in JIRA and Confluence	10:14
gmacario	toscalix: +1	10:14
toscalix	gunnarx: good point	10:14
gunnarx	ok thanks for the wiki link	10:14
gmacario	And possible fewer instance of JIRA and Confluence :P	10:15
gunnarx	"compliance" is not listed in the catalogue so we're ok	10:15
toscalix	should we go for Tools 12 now?	10:15
gunnarx	Propose to add "license_compliance"?	10:15
toscalix	will add it.	10:15
philrob	+1	10:15
gunnarx	added. ok tool-12	10:16
gunnarx	can someone email the question out to mailing lists?	10:17
toscalix	I added an issue about it	10:17
toscalix	I take it	10:18
gunnarx	? isn't tool-12 the issue?	10:18
gunnarx	you add a subtask to do something to fulfil tool-12 :-)	10:18
toscalix	tool-38 about the label	10:19
toscalix	ah, wait, the mail question is about Tool-12, ok, sorry	10:19
toscalix	So tools-12 is about opening the discussion in a wider forum?	10:20
toscalix	can we assign to somebody to make a proposal about this or to drive the discussion?	10:20
gunnarx	toscalix: 10:16 <gunnarx> added. ok tool-12	10:20
gunnarx	added means I already added the license_compliance label to the wiki page.	10:20
gunnarx	we work fast here :)	10:20
toscalix	:-)	10:21
toscalix	how it should be	10:21
toscalix	who takes the responsibility of driving the discussion about Tool-12?	10:21
toscalix	let's move on then	10:22

toscalix	Tool-14	10:22
toscalix	choose code review approach for go.cd pipelines...	10:22
toscalix	Codethink is testing the plugin gerri-go.cd	10:22
toscalix	gerrit	10:22
gunnarx	makes sense to me	10:23
toscalix	but that is just one tool	10:23
toscalix	The idea of the issue is to explore other options too	10:23
gunnarx	Well gerrit provides code review as we know	10:23
gunnarx	When it comes to go, what you want is to build proposed patches... usually done by integrating gerrit with the build tool	10:24
toscalix	As soon as we get to valid conclusions we will bring them here	10:24
gunnarx	if you want alternatives, provide commit access to a repo to anyone. proposed patches go to a branch, the branch is built by build tool the normal way	10:24
gunnarx	reporting on the result... either ask submitters to manually monitor build tool... there are also desktop widgets for build status	10:25
toscalix	So we can go back to this issue when we bring our results. Are you ok with this?	10:25
gunnarx	well that's the alternative I can come up with atm	10:25
gunnarx	Sure. But how? Email a request for feedback then?	10:25
toscalix	I will include it in the issue itself	10:25
toscalix	you can watch it so you get notified	10:26
toscalix	then we decide how to proceed (mail...or call)	10:26
gunnarx	ok	10:27
*** pavelk has joined #automotive		10:27
gunnarx	welcome pavelk, tools meeting ongoing :)	10:27
pavelk	great!	10:27
toscalix	Next one then... Tool-22	10:27
gunnarx	pavelk : https://at.projects.genivi.org/jira/secure/RapidBoard.jspa?rapidView=8	10:27
toscalix	Build DLT-Viewer	10:28
gunnarx	That one should have the go label	10:28
gunnarx	Actually it does have it, but it has one more label so the query includes it	10:28
toscalix	adding it	10:28
gunnarx	which it shouldn't	10:28
toscalix	ah, ok	10:28
gunnarx	but I don't know how to write that query better either, I tried	10:28

toscalix	labels in capital letters is not a good idea with this tool since it is case sensitive	10:29
gunnarx	can't find a useful way to query on "includes" a label, only "equal to"	10:29
gunnarx	it is?	10:29
toscalix	yep	10:29
gunnarx	I just followed Paul's lead :)	10:29
toscalix	this tools needs management	10:29
toscalix	power comes with a price	10:30
gunnarx	ok, well anyhow, skip tool-22, we'll take it in the Go review	10:30
toscalix	ok	10:30
toscalix	Tool-24	10:30
toscalix	As you probably know, we are working on having simple acceptance tests in GDP	10:31
toscalix	but that is just a little aspect.	10:31
pavelk	toscalix: what framework do you use?	10:31
toscalix	none	10:31
stevel_	I plan to reconnect with Collabora on use of their LAVA farm in coming weeks	10:31
toscalix	we will go for scripts at the beginning	10:31
pavelk	OK, are there any sample available?	10:32
toscalix	not yet	10:32
toscalix	we are still working on making the images available to then check licenses	10:32
gunnarx	for deploying/running tests there's LAVA, or as said before we could investigate JTA	10:32
toscalix	somebody needs to take ownership of this tasks and bring a proposal	10:33
stevel_	At very least JTA should have some test cases	10:33
toscalix	or at least move this topic forward	10:33
stevel_	Happy to take sub-task to work with collabora on LAVA	10:33
gunnarx	for writing the test code there are different contributions from different companies. If a company writing a component has their own preferred way they won't change, but normally it's possible to run and collect results from different ones.	10:33
gunnarx	For newly written component tests I'd cast a vote for gtest (google test)	10:34
toscalix	stevel_: can you add the subtask yourself?	10:34
stevel_	Sure if you want.	10:34
toscalix	go ahead please	10:34
stevel_	I was assuming lead wanted to be one to add. But I can do :)	10:34
toscalix	this is not "my kanban" but ours :-)	10:34
toscalix	great, thank you	10:35

toscalix	Next one is Tool-27	10:35
stevel_	Viva Freedom!	10:35
toscalix	Mapping of Franca IDL constants to the UML model missing in YAMAICA	10:36
pavelk	Tools-27 looks more like a feature request for Yamaica	10:36
pavelk	...and as such into their issue tracker	10:36
gunnarx	which means bugzilla? until migration is completed?	10:37
pavelk	I don't know what they currently use	10:37
toscalix	who is the main contact for YAMAICA?	10:37
gunnarx	http://bugs.genivi.org/buglist.cgi?product=Franca%20Tooling&component=YAMAICA&resolution=---&list_id=3836	10:37
gmacario	According to http://projects.genivi.org/yamaica/community this is http://bugs.genivi.org/buglist.cgi?product=Franca%20Tooling&component=YAMAICA and http://bugs.genivi.org/describecomponents.cgi?product=Franca%20Tooling	10:37
pavelk	I suspect this is Manfred Bathelt	10:38
gmacario	Main contacts are Manfred Bathelt and Juergen Gehring - See http://projects.genivi.org/yamaica/contact-us	10:38
gunnarx	yes and Manfred is the default assignee on a new bugzilla	10:38
toscalix	thanks gmacario Then I will contact them so see how they want to handle this request	10:39
gunnarx	We should just transfer it to bugzilla I suppose?	10:39
toscalix	That is my thought but since we are migrating...let's see.	10:40
toscalix	I will contact them first, just in case	10:40
toscalix	ok?	10:40
gmacario	Yes and BTW I see zero bugs in Bugzilla so far	10:40
gunnarx	toscalix, these tools issues we're discussing, they are for driving tools forward	10:40
gunnarx	bug reports go to specific projects I assume, not to tools project?	10:41
gunnarx	^ bug and feature requests	10:41
toscalix	exactly, but seems it was added here as action item, I want to make sure we close it properly	10:41
gmacario	One suggestion for driving tools forward is speeding up killing old tools	10:41
toscalix	gmacario: I hope that is the outcome of this	10:42
toscalix	: -)	10:42

gunnarx	so as I see it, bugs will in the future go into JIRA but under a different project, but at the moment bugzilla still being used for the bugs	10:42
toscalix	in GDP we are about to stop using bugzilla for bugs, moving them to JIRA and making a note in every open bug	10:43
toscalix	we are still talking about how to do that	10:43
gunnarx	if you agree then I suggest to transfer TOOL-27 to bugzilla, but of course toscalix, if you feel like sending an email you cna	10:43
toscalix	we will publish a mail about it	10:43
toscalix	and talk to Joel	10:43
gunnarx	unfortunately the original requesting person has been lost in various moves between systems, because I assume it's not a feature request from paulsherwood	10:43
toscalix	Since this is the first time I go through this, I prefer to talk to them first instead of putting a feature request directly in bugzilla	10:44
philrob	seems wise	10:44
toscalix	but the default behaviour should be to go to whatever tool they are using ... yes	10:44
gunnarx	it's up to you, but I don't see it	10:44
gunnarx	but yes, since you will look like the person requesting, it might be weird to just put it in bugzilla	10:45
gunnarx	pavelk, are you or could you be a champion for this feature request?	10:45
pavelk	Which one? Tools-27?	10:46
toscalix	I propose myself to do it	10:46
gunnarx	toscalix, again up to you, but there is really no need to agree that bugs/feature requests are reported in bugzilla. this is already the process. maintainers _should_ expect to get them	10:46
gunnarx	and react to them.	10:46
toscalix	agree	10:46
gunnarx	imho	10:46
toscalix	I just do not know them so...	10:46
gunnarx	pavelk, yes tool-27, just looking for a champion	10:46
gunnarx	because I don't know who made the request originally	10:46
toscalix	I have a lot in my plate, if pavelk takes it over...I will be happy	10:47
toscalix	13 min to go	10:47
pavelk	I don't use Yamaica, but can move the request to Bugzilla	10:47
toscalix	pavelk: assigned. Thank you	10:48
toscalix	Tool-29	10:48
pavelk	toscalix: skipping Tools-28?	10:48

toscalix	it has assignee	10:49
pavelk	Ah, OK	10:49
toscalix	I am concentrating right now in those that don't	10:49
toscalix	that is, the ones I am as assignee	10:49
pavelk	Understood	10:49
gunnarx	tool-29 was more of a tools team charter thing, goal setting, working towards better / wider tool testing on multiple platforms	10:49
pavelk	It overlaps with Tool-24...	10:50
*** kooltux_ has quit IRC		10:50
toscalix	I think that we need somebody that put some thought in testing and drive a discussion that leads into a proposal	10:50
toscalix	so we merge all this issues into a single one	10:50
gunnarx	tool-24 is a little more focused.	10:50
toscalix	yes	10:50
gunnarx	I could bring the question to YAMAICA team but then the general goal setting would be lost	10:51
pavelk	gunnarx: so tool-24 is a subissue of tool-29?	10:51
gunnarx	don't know	10:51
toscalix	I would say so. We can put it as sub-task	10:51
gunnarx	toscalix, maybe worth thinking about how to organize tool team higher-level goals, compared to actions	10:51
toscalix	the second point for today was.... 2016Q1 goals	10:52
gunnarx	:)	10:52
toscalix	we will have no time...but yes	10:52
gunnarx	I can own tool-29 if you want, but it's a long term goal thing	10:52
toscalix	let's leave the testing topic for that discussion?	10:52
gunnarx	ok	10:53
philrob	we discussed with Manfred how to recycle the CommonAPI C++ test suite in the context of CIAT, Manfred was requested to provide Jenkins job configuration to enable more analysis	10:53
pavelk	It looks like tool-29 is more about testing the /tools/, while tool-24 is about a tool for testing	10:53
gunnarx	pavelk, you are right	10:53
toscalix	philrob: I would appreciate if you add a comment on the task itself describing what was discussed, or a link we can follow, at least	10:53
philrob	will do	10:53
toscalix	philrob: thanks	10:54

toscalix	Tool-29...we skip it for now	10:54
gunnarx	philrob, jenkins job for building is not really necessary, we already build commonapi tools on go server. but if it is for testing, yes	10:54
toscalix	Tool-30	10:54
toscalix	Seems a reminder note from a previous meeting	10:55
gunnarx	oh, another big lofty goal :)	10:55
toscalix	I wonder if any of you remember an action item out of this discussion	10:55
pavelk	there are some initial thought on the (private) wiki	10:55
toscalix	It is stated as Improvement	10:55
gunnarx	I have much outstanding work to do so I shouldn't take this on...	10:55
toscalix	What I would reuqet then is an Asignee to move it forward or leave it as it is for now	10:56
gunnarx	but a picture outlining goals for target vs host dev environment would help communication a lot	10:56
toscalix	s/reuquet/request	10:56
toscalix	gunnarx: if you are too busy, I prefer to leave it as it is than having it in the backlog forever	10:57
toscalix	since it will increase the Tolls team management cost to add it to the backlog (ToDo)	10:57
pavelk	toscalix: the earlier strategy was to find volunteers to document their ways to build components for GENIVI	10:57
gunnarx	well it relates to things we must do imho	10:57
toscalix	understood	10:58
toscalix	any taker to move this forward?	10:58
*** jonathanmaw_ has joined #automotive		10:58
toscalix	2 min left...	10:58
gunnarx	no but I'll add some comment to clarify next steps	10:58
*** jonathanmaw_ has quit IRC		10:59
toscalix	that is a step forward, thanks	10:59
*** jonathanmaw_ has joined #automotive		10:59
toscalix	lats one.... Tool-31	10:59
toscalix	Test Eclipse Automotive release as basis for franca_install_automation instead of Eclipse DSL release	10:59
toscalix	any taker to move this issue forward?	11:00
pavelk	Somebody remembers who was the originator?	11:00
gunnarx	me	11:00
gunnarx	I wanted Klaus to help me out on it.	11:00
gunnarx	give me a sec	11:00

pavelk	In my rough understanding, Eclipse Automotive has a different scope, but this is to be verified	11:01
gunnarx	ok, info from trello is not moved over. so we follow the Trello link to get the status?	11:02
pavelk	Eclipse DSL is for tools developers. Eclipse automotive seems to be for automotive software developers	11:02
gmacario	I need to leave now. Talk to you soon!	11:02
*** jonathanmaw has quit IRC		11:02
toscalix	gmacario: thanks	11:02
pavelk	the task might be then about packaging franca and CAPI tools for Eclipse Automotive	11:03
gunnarx	It's simply whethere franca_install_automation should be based on Eclipse Automotive or not	11:04
pavelk	Oh, I take it back: "The EAIWG will define and maintain the requirements, a technical architecture and a roadmap that defines a common platform for the development and management tools required for the automotive electronic development processes. "	11:04
toscalix	gunnarx: is it ok to put this in your plate so next week you report your conversation with Klaus?	11:04
gunnarx	I've not been able to get good input from creators of Eclipse Automotive, which I believe is Klaus' company	11:04
gunnarx	toscalix, yes, assign me	11:04
toscalix	ok	11:04
gunnarx	and I'll assign klaus, or kill it :)	11:04
philrob	assign it to klaus	11:05
toscalix	So we are done. 5 min past	11:05
toscalix	sorry for the delay	11:05
toscalix	we will finish here	11:05
toscalix	5	11:06
toscalix	4	11:06
toscalix	3	11:06
toscalix	2	11:06
toscalix	1	11:06
toscalix	== GENIVI Tools Meeting End ==	